IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Natalie Dawn Bingham, M.D., et al.,

Case No. 2:25-cv-163-RMG

Plaintiffs,

v.

ORDER

Alan McCrory Wilson, in his official capacity as the Attorney General of South Carolina, *et al.*,

Defendants.

The parties have moved by consent motion for an extended briefing scheduling in this matter. (Dkt. No.13). The Court grants the motion, as follows:

- 1. The Attorney General may file a response to Plaintiffs' motion for a protective order (Dkt. No. 5) on or before March 3, 2025. Any reply is due on or before March 24, 2025.
- 2. The Attorney General will file a response to Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint on or before March 17, 2025.
- 3. Should the Attorney General file a motion to dismiss, Plaintiff's response will be due on or before April 14, 2025 and any reply due on or before May 5, 2025.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/ Richard Mark Gergel</u>
Richard Mark Gergel
United States District Judge

January 18, 2025 Charleston, South Carolina